



2023

Manfred Lachs Space Law Moot Court Competition

Responses to Requests for Clarification

Argyliam

v.

Koligian

1. Whether Koligian acted on behalf of fellow member states of an international organization body (such as NATO or the UN) when it used the ASAT Missile to destroy the Palver-2?

See Agreed Statement of Facts. Further clarification is declined.

2. In paragraph 12 of the moot problem, Palver-2 loses command without explanation. But there is no reason mentioned as to how it lost command. How did palver-2 lose command? Was it due to malfunction of the satellite or interference by Koligian?

Koligian did not interfere with Palver-2

3. Who is Iriord-8 owned by?

Koligian

4. Did Koligian consult or notify Argyliam about the launch of the ASAT missile beforehand?

See Agreed Statement of Facts. Further clarification is declined.

5. According to paragraph 20 of the Agreed Statement of Facts, Argylam and Koligian are Parties to the "five United Nations treaties on outer space". Is Kassof also a Party to these five treaties?

Kassof is a party to the Outer Space Treaty, the Return and Rescue Agreement, the Registration Convention, and the Moon Agreement.

6. Our team is interested in the nature of the contractual relationship between Charalg Inc. and the State of Argylam. Does the text of the contract between Charalg and Argylam or the messaging of Charalg's marketing imply a guarantee of accuracy and reliability?

Clarification is declined.