



2024

Manfred Lachs Space Law Moot Court Competition

Responses to Requests for Clarification

Angani

v.

Bolvanger

1. To what extent can it be assumed that States' (including its private actors) current practices concerning dark skies protection, and regulatory oversight of national satellite activity by States, are continued in the time between now and the proceedings?

Further clarification is declined.

2. Did the application for Federal Space License No. 7877, granted to KRONOS Limited, contain clauses pertaining to extending the TandaNet Satellite Constellation's lifespan or implementing safety measures in the event of anticipated technical problems?

Yes.

3. Paragraph 26 of the Statement of Facts states that Angani commenced proceedings. Did Angani commence proceedings on behalf of the GSO, or itself?

Further clarification is declined.

4. What was the nominal orbit that was planned for the HJR-3 Satellite?

600 km.

5. Which nationality the citizen astronomers who commented and reported about the HJR3 belong to?

Further clarification is declined.

6. Is ANGANI a leading state (e.g., playing an important role) in GSO's KirR project and NYX project?

Yes.

7. What kind of data did Angani/GSO possess to be comfortable with the launch of KirR?

Further clarification is declined.